

## Research Article

# On an Extension of Shapiro's Cyclic Inequality

Nguyen Minh Tuan<sup>1</sup> and Le Quy Thuong<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Analysis, University of Hanoi, 334 Nguyen Trai Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Hanoi, 334 Nguyen Trai Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

Correspondence should be addressed to Nguyen Minh Tuan, tuannm@hus.edu.vn

Received 21 August 2009; Accepted 13 October 2009

Recommended by Kunquan Lan

We prove an interesting extension of the Shapiro's cyclic inequality for four and five variables and formulate a generalization of the well-known Shapiro's cyclic inequality. The method used in the proofs of the theorems in the paper concerns the positive quadratic forms.

Copyright © 2009 N. M. Tuan and L. Q. Thuong. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

In 1954, Harold Seymour Shapiro proposed the inequality for a cyclic sum in  $n$  variables as follows:

1

$$\frac{x_1}{x_2 + x_3} + \frac{x_2}{x_3 + x_4} + \cdots + \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n + x_1} + \frac{x_n}{x_1 + x_2} \geq \frac{n}{2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $x_i \geq 0$ ,  $x_i + x_{i+1} > 0$ , and  $x_{i+n} = x_i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Although (1.1) was settled in 1989 by Trosch [1], the history of long year proofs of this inequality was interesting, and the certain problems remain (see [1–8]). Motivated by the directions of generalizations and proofs of (1.1), we consider the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} P(n, p, q) &:= \frac{x_1}{px_2 + qx_3} + \frac{x_2}{px_3 + qx_4} + \cdots + \frac{x_{n-1}}{px_n + qx_1} + \frac{x_n}{px_1 + qx_2} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{p+q}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p, q \geq 0$  and  $p + q > 0$ . It is clear that (1.2) is true for  $n = 3$ . Indeed, by the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2 &= \left( \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{px_2 + qx_3}} \sqrt{x_1(px_2 + qx_3)} + \sqrt{\frac{x_2}{px_3 + qx_1}} \sqrt{x_2(px_3 + qx_1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{x_3}{px_1 + qx_2}} \sqrt{x_3(px_1 + qx_2)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq P(3, p, q)(p + q)(x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

It follows that

$$P(3, p, q) \geq \frac{(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^2}{(p + q)(x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_1)} \geq \frac{3}{p + q}. \quad (1.4)$$

Obviously, (1.2) is true for every  $n \geq 4$  if  $p = 0$  or  $q = 0$ .

In this note, by studying (1.2) in the case  $n = 4$ , we show that it is true when  $p \geq q$ , and false when  $p < q$ . Moreover, we give a sufficient condition of  $p, q$  under which (1.2) is true in the case  $n = 5$ . It is worth saying that if  $p < q$ , then (1.2) is false for every even  $n \geq 4$ . Two open questions are discussed at the end of this paper.

## 2. Main Result

Without loss generality of (1.2), we assume that  $p + q = 1$ . However, (1.2) for  $n = 4$  now is of the form

$$P(4, p, q) = \frac{x_1}{px_2 + qx_3} + \frac{x_2}{px_3 + qx_4} + \frac{x_3}{px_4 + qx_1} + \frac{x_4}{px_1 + qx_2} \geq 4. \quad (2.1)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *It holds that (2.1) is true for  $p \geq q$ , and it is false for  $p < q$ .*

*Proof.* By the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^2 &\leq P(4, p, q) [x_1(px_2 + qx_3) + x_2(px_3 + qx_4) + x_3(px_4 + qx_1) + x_4(px_1 + qx_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Hence

$$P(4, p, q) \geq \frac{(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^2}{px_1x_2 + 2qx_1x_3 + px_1x_4 + px_2x_3 + 2qx_2x_4 + px_3x_4}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is an equality if and only if

$$px_2 + qx_3 = px_3 + qx_4 = px_4 + qx_1 = px_1 + qx_2. \quad (2.4)$$

Consider the following quadratic form:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^2 \\ &\quad - 4(px_1x_2 + 2qx_1x_3 + px_1x_4 + px_2x_3 + 2qx_2x_4 + px_3x_4).\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

By a simple calculation we obtain the canonical quadratic form  $\omega$  as follows:

$$\omega(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = t_1^2 + 4pqt_2^2 + \frac{4q(2p-1)}{p}t_3^2,\tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}t_1 &= x_1 + (1-2p)x_2 + (1-4q)x_3 + (1-2p)x_4, \\ t_2 &= x_2 + \frac{1-2p}{p}x_3 - \frac{q}{p}x_4, \\ t_3 &= x_3 - x_4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

It is easily seen that if  $p \geq q$ , that is,  $p \geq 1/2$ , then  $\omega \geq 0$  for all  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies that  $\omega$  is positive. We thus have  $P(4, p, q) \geq 4$ .

Now let us consider the cases when  $\omega$  vanishes. This depends considerably on the comparison of  $p$  with  $q$ . If  $p = q$ , that is,  $p = 1/2$ , then the quadratic form  $\omega$  attains 0 at  $t_1 = x_1 - x_3 = 0$  and  $t_2 = x_2 - x_4 = 0$ . By (2.4) we assert that  $P(4, p, q) = 4$  whenever  $x_1 = x_3$  and  $x_2 = x_4$ . Also, if  $p > 1/2$ , then  $\omega$  vanishes if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}t_1 &= x_1 + (1-2p)x_2 + (1-4q)x_3 + (1-2p)x_4 = 0, \\ t_2 &= x_2 + \frac{1-2p}{p}x_3 - \frac{q}{p}x_4 = 0, \\ t_3 &= x_3 - x_4 = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

Combining these facts with (2.4) we conclude that  $P(4, p, q) = 4$  when  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4$ .

Now we give a counter-example to (2.1) in the case  $p < q$ , that is,  $p < 1/2$ . Let  $x_1 = x_3 = a$ ,  $x_2 = x_4 = b$ , and  $a \neq b$ . We will prove that

$$\frac{a}{pb+qa} + \frac{b}{pa+qb} + \frac{a}{pb+qa} + \frac{b}{pa+qb} = 2\left(\frac{a}{pb+qa} + \frac{b}{pa+qb}\right) < 4.\tag{2.9}$$

It is obvious that

$$(2.9) \iff p(2q-1)(a^2+b^2) + 2(p^2+q^2-q)ab > 0 \iff p(1-2p)(a-b)^2 > 0.\tag{2.10}$$

The last inequality is evident as  $a \neq b$  and  $p < 1/2$ , so (2.9) follows.

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* Let  $A$  denote the matrix of the quadratic form  $\omega$  in the canonical base of the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Namely,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1-2p & 1-4q & 1-2p \\ 1-2p & 1 & 1-2p & 1-4q \\ 1-4q & 1-2p & 1 & 1-2p \\ 1-2p & 1-4q & 1-2p & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

Let  $D_1, D_2, D_3$ , and  $D_4$  be the principal minors of orders 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, of  $A$ . By direct calculation we obtain

$$D_1 = 1, \quad D_2 = 4pq, \quad D_3 = 16q^2(2p-1), \quad D_4 = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Then  $\omega$  is positive if and only if  $D_i \geq 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . We find the first part of Theorem 2.1.

Thanks to the idea of using positive quadratic form we now study (1.2) in the case  $n = 5$ . It is sufficient to consider the case  $p + q = 1$ . By the Cauchy inequality, we reduce our work to the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_5) &= \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i^2 + (2-5p)x_1x_2 + (2-5q)x_1x_3 + (2-5q)x_1x_4 \\ &\quad + (2-5p)x_1x_5 + (2-5p)x_2x_3 + (2-5q)x_2x_4 + (2-5q)x_2x_5 \\ &\quad + (2-5p)x_3x_4 + (2-5q)x_3x_5 + (2-5p)x_4x_5 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

The matrix of  $\varphi$  in an appropriate system of basic vectors is of the form

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2-5p & 2-5q & 2-5q & 2-5p \\ 2-5p & 2 & 2-5p & 2-5q & 2-5q \\ 2-5q & 2-5p & 2 & 2-5p & 2-5q \\ 2-5q & 2-5q & 2-5p & 2 & 2-5p \\ 2-5p & 2-5q & 2-5q & 2-5p & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.14)$$

which has the principal minors

$$D_1 = 1, \quad D_2 = \frac{5p(4-5p)}{4}, \quad D_3 = \frac{25q(5pq-1)}{4}, \quad D_4 = \frac{125(1-5pq)^2}{16}, \quad D_5 = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

This implies that the necessary and sufficient condition for the positivity of the quadratic form  $\varphi$  is

$$\frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10} \leq p \leq \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10}. \quad (2.16)$$

We thus obtain a sufficient condition under which (1.2) holds for  $n = 5$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $(5 - \sqrt{5})/10 \leq p \leq (5 + \sqrt{5})/10$ , then (1.2) is true for  $n = 5$ .*

*Remark 2.4.* Consider (1.2) in the case  $n \geq 4$ ,  $n$  is even, and  $p < q$ . According to the proof of the second part of Theorem 2.1, this inequality is false. Indeed, we choose  $x_1 = x_3 = \dots = a$ ,  $x_2 = x_4 = \dots = b$ . By the above counter-example, we conclude  $P(n, p, q) < n/(p + q)$ .

*Open Questions.* (a) Find pairs of nonnegative numbers  $p, q$  so that (1.2) is true for every  $n \geq 4$ .

(b) For certain  $n \geq 5$ , which is sufficient condition of the pair  $p, q$  so that (1.2) is true.

## Acknowledgment

This work is supported partially by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development.

## References

- [1] B. A. Trosch, "The validity of Shapiro's cyclic inequality," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 53, no. 188, pp. 657–664, 1989.
- [2] P. J. Bushell, "Shapiro's cyclic sum," *The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 564–574, 1994.
- [3] P. J. Bushell and J. B. McLeod, "Shapiro's cyclic inequality for even  $n$ ," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 331–348, 2002.
- [4] P. H. Diananda, "On a cyclic sum," *Proceedings of the Glasgow Mathematical Association*, vol. 6, pp. 11–13, 1963.
- [5] V. G. Drinfeld, "A certain cyclic inequality," *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 9, pp. 68–71, 1971.
- [6] A. M. Fink, "Shapiro's inequality," in *Recent Progress in Inequalities*, G. V. Milovanovic, Ed., vol. 430 of *Mathematics and Its Applications, Part 13*, pp. 241–248, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1st edition, 1997.
- [7] L. J. Mordell, "On the inequality  $\sum_{r=1}^n x_r / (x_{r+1} + x_{r+2}) \geq n/2$  and some others," *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, vol. 22, pp. 229–241, 1958.
- [8] L. J. Mordell, "Note on the inequality  $\sum_{r=1}^n x_r / (x_{r+1} + x_{r+2}) \geq n/2$  and some others," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 37, pp. 176–178, 1962.

## Composition Comments

1. Please provide a valid postal code for the addresses.

## **Author(s) Name(s)**

It is very important to confirm the author(s) first and last names in order to be displayed correctly on our website as well as in the indexing databases:

### **Author 1**

Last Name: Tuan

First Name: Nguyen Minh

### **Author 2**

Last Name: Thuong

First Name: Le Quy